

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/789,355 | 02/27/2004 | George Kukolj | 13/083-3-D2 | 9062 |
| 28513 7 | 590 06/13/2006 | EXAMINER | | |
| MICHAEL P. MORRIS BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPORATION 900 RIDGEBURY RD P O BOX 368 RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877-0368 | | | LI, BAO Q | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1648 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | 10/789,355 | KUKOLJ ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Bao Qun Li | 1648 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the cover sheet w | th the correspondence address | | | |
| A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI c, cause the application to become A | CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 2a) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal mat | • • | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 5) □ 6) □ 7) □ 8) ⊠ Applicati | Claim(s) <u>9-17</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>9-17</u> are subject to restriction and/or on Papers | wn from consideration. election requirement. | | | | |
| · | The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | • | • | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Infor | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(5) Notice of I | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Pape | r No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/789,355

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Amendment filed on March 27, 206 has been acknowledged. Claims 1-8 previous under the persecution have been canceled. New claims 9-17 have been added. Upon reconsidering the pending claims, a new restriction/election is issued accordingly.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Group I, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide as SEQ ID NO: 2, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - II. Group II, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide as SEQ ID NO: 4, classified in class536, subclass 23.1.
 - III. Group III, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide as SEQ ID NO: 5, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - IV. Group IV, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide as SEQ ID NO: 6, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - V. Group V, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide as SEQ ID NO: 7, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - VI. Group VI, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide as SEQ ID NO: 25, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - VII. Claims 10, 12-13, drawn to an isolated HCV polynucleotide having a mutation selected from all the variables listed in claim 10, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - VIII. Claim 11, drawn to an isolated HCV polynucleotide having a mutation especially at E1202G, classified in class 53-, subclass 23.6.
 - IX. Claims 14-15, drawn to an isolated HCV polynucleotide having one or more mutation in addition of E1202G, classified in class 530, subclass 23.1
- 2. Claim 9 link(s) inventions Groups I-VIII and IXI. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 9. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability

Art Unit: 1648

in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

3. Inventions of VII and VIII or VIII and IX are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination as claimed in group VII or group VIII or group VIII and group IX or group VII and group IX does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability. The subcombination has separate utility such as the polynucleotide as defined in group VII does not necessarily require the polynucleotide of group VIII or IX.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

4. Inventions of group I and other group selected from group consisting of II-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different structures and functions. Moreover, every polynucleotide as claimed comprises over 8000 base, the searching one polynucleotide encoded by SEQ ID NO: 2 does not overlap with other polynucleotide elected from SEQ ID NO: 4, 5, 7 or 25. Therefore, it will constitute a serious burden for the office searching all of them together.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,355

Art Unit: 1648

5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 4

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Claims 10, 12-13 is generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: R (1135)K, S(1148)G, S(156)G, K(1691)R, L(1701)F, I(1984)V, T(1993)A, S(2402)P, L(2155)P, P(2166)L and M(2992)T. The species are independent or distinct because each of the species has different structural characteristics. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 8. Claims 14-15 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: E(1201)G, I(1984)V, G(2042), and M(2992)T, *S(1148)G, L(1701), G(2042), and S(2404)P. The species are independent or distinct because each of species of HCV polynucleotide has different structure. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 9. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1648

- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37) CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 11. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 12. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao Qun Li whose telephone number is 571-272-0904. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marguel:

Bao Qun Li